REPORT ON

The survey locations (Zone, Woreda) were selected based on their potential in chickpea

Table

chickpea (22.7 Q; range, 3.5-75Q) while the least production (3.8 Q; range, 0.3-50Q) was recorded by the farmers in Tigray region.

Table 6. Farming experience (years) of respondent farmers and mean production (Quintals) of project target crops, 2013-2014.

Region	Measure

Table 10. Non pest causes of grain loss

Grain moving by wind with the chuff during winnowing was reported to be the major way of grain loss

Table 17. Farmersô training on harvest and post-harvest loss prevention

No	Receipt of training

Table 18. Farmersô areas of trainiT ¶ pt

Table 20. Method of store inspection by farmers

No

Table 21

6.10.

Table 27. Farmersô reasons for choosing postharvest management options

Table 29

Table 31. Cost of production inputs

by animals. Small proportion of the farmers use car (12.9%) or carry themselves (9.1%) to transport chickpea to the market.

Responses on the distance to market were given by 180 farmers. According to these farmers the average distance

Table 37. Amount of chickpea grain cleaned prior to market and cleaning methods used by farmers

Information based on 220 farmers on whether they have special food to provide to pregnant

In general, larger proportion of farmers in Oromia region (40.5%) reported to have special food for pregnant women, children under age of five and elderly family members.

Table 44. Types of special food for pregnant women

No	Type of food for pregnant women

Table 46. Types of special food for elderly family members

No	Food type	Measure	Respondent farmers

Table 47

Table 50. Protective and sanitary measures taken by farmers during pesticide application

No	Response	Use protective during pesticide application	Wash after spraying pesticide

Table 52. Disposal of grain unfit for food

No	Means of disposal	Measure	Respondent farmers	Total

respectively, as preferred means of receiving new information. Input suppliers and religious leaders have also been given due consideration as sources of new information transfer to farmers.

Table 53. Organizations to which farmers are belonging

No

Table 55. Local individuals/organizations that provide information farmers trust about stored grain management

No	Individuals/organizations	Measure	Respondent farmers

Table 56